William Sheppard

Appellants.

Thomas Stevens

That after much delay by the Appellants, and abund

Which Exceptions came to be argued before the fair

That the Defendants, instead of complying with the fail

John Smith, Steven Smith and Anne Smith Infants, by their Father and next Friend

Respondents.

The Respondent's Case.

HAT John Newman, the Respondent's late Uncle, did, by his Will in Writing, give to the said John Smith a Legacy of a 100 l. to the said Stephen 150 l. and to the said Anne 50 l. and several small Legacies to Children of his remoter Relations, and 201. a piece to the Appellants for their trouble in the Executorship, and all the residue of his Personal Estate to the Respondents, to be improved for them, and together with their said Legacies to be paid by the Appellants when of Age, and appointed them Executors in Trust, and gave the Appellant Stevens the Lease of his Farm for the residue of his Term, from Michaelmass then next, and dyed.

The Respondent's Father took a Journey to see the Appraisement fairly made on behalf of his Children, but the Appellants refused to let him be present at, or privy to the same, and got the Appraisement made by Friends of their own, procuring great part to be undervalued, and other part to be omitted. To be beard "the

The Respondents exhibited their Bill in Chancery to call them to Account, and to have their Legacies, as also the residuary Part paid, and secured according to the faid Will. Acceptante, tas-

To which Bill the Appellants, by answer, set forth an Apprelement, and said it was Just and True, and insisted they ought not to Account for the Summer Profits of the Testator's Farm.

That the Respondents proved the Appellants refused to let free Father be present at the Appraisement, and also the Appellants Appraisement in many particulars was furcharged, and sev Dmillions proved.

The Cause was heard before the late Lord Keeper Wright Decreed the Appellants to account for the whole Personal E-15.Dec. 1701. State and Summers Profits, and to pay the Respondents said and Reliduary Part according to the faid Will, and the Appellants to detain their faid own Legacies, and also the gacies in their Hands to Pay as they should grow due, and Indempnifyed them in fo doing.

And Costs are reserved till after the Account taken, of the faid Court to take the Account. The Appellants put in two infufficient Exam and thereby very much differed from their Answer. and of the value of 32 l. 10 s. od. they now by these fold for 45 l. 15 s. 8 s. besides 5 l. 16 s. 6 d. for their For, whereas they thereby account for but 70 Ewes Examinations fay there were 69 Sheep and 57 Lambs, Wool: And whereas by the Answer, the Cows are v ppears by their faid Examinations they were fold for above 100 l. and fo in feveral other Par

dances before the Master upon settling the Accounwhich both Sides took Exceptions.

and also the Matter of Costs: The Respondents Exceptions, eptions, after two days Argument, were adjudged vexatious. that the Defendants had very much misbehaved themselves in be taxed by the same Master.

fo folemnly made, procured an Order to re-argue part of the court up two days more.

Exceptions, and also the Matter of Costs of Suit, which to he Exceptions, the Master took the Account, and taxed the Re-That pursuant to the faid Orders, on hearing and re-hear spondents their Costs, and certified 493 l. o s. 7 d. ready May in the Appellant's Hands (belonging to the Respondents) and appointed them to bring the faid 493 l. os. 7 d. before him to be put out for the Respondents, according to the faid Decree and Orders. And as to the Respondents Bill of Costs, which amounted to 317 1. 3 s. 10 d. taxed the same but at 193 l. 2 s. 1 d. And

which Report was afterwards duly confirmed by the Court. The Appellants, by Petition to the then Lord Keeper, fay they are willing in every thing to comply with the faid Decree; and therefore pray a Months time to bring the said 493 1.0 s. 7 d. before the said Master. Which time was granted accordingly. And when elapsed, then

They prefer another Petition, and a second time say they are willing in all Things to comply with the said Decree, and that they had in great part performed the same already, but not able to compleat it by the time limited, and therefore prayed three Months longer time to bring in the said 493 L. os. 7 d. ready Mony, offering to give Security to pay it in that time.

Upon hearing Counsel on both Sides it was ordered, That the Appellants should have only a Fortnights time from thence to

bring in the said Mony, but the said 493 l. o s. 7 d. is not brought in to this day.

That after all these Proceedings, and 5 years Prosecution (almost to the Ruin of the Respondents) and after the said Appellants have submitted to pay (and have paid) the said Costs as taxed, and twice as aforesaid, by Petition, consented to the said Decrees, and faid they were willing to comply therewith in every thing, yet now they have Appealed against the same.

Wherefore it is humbly prayed that your Lordsbips will dismiss the Petition of Appeal with exemplary Costs.

SAM. DODD. N. LECHMERE.

pril, 1700.

Toth. of Feb. 1700.

Answer. 21. May 1701.

Cause beard

Examinations. 24th. April, ber, 1702.

Report 11th. of January, 1703 two years time, settled the same, and made his Report 14th. Oftober, 1704. Excep-

being adjudged reasonable, were allowed; and the Apr And it also appearing by the said Answer, Examinations tions Argued. the Trust, the Court now ordered them to pay the Colls o 15th. Fanuary, 1704.Excepti-ons rebeard.

Second Report 1704.

26th. May,

15th. June, .1705.

23d. June, -1704